



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

37

DATE MAILED:

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ISABELLE BLUNDELL, app. rep. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) ARDIN MARSCHEL, Ex (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 9-26-01

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: all pending in general

Identification of prior art discussed: Weininger et al. (PIN 5,871,902), Wilding et al. (PIN 5,726,026) in view of Summerton et al. (PIN 5,217,866)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed the

112, 2nd para, signs and agreed that arguments that the class are generic: broad regarding arrangement of separate element would be persuasive. Weininger et al. may be overcome by replacing PNA in the class to "peptide nucleic acid". Wilding et al./Summerton et al. were discussed wherein proposed amends directed to PNA-heads being electrophoretically mobile would overcome this sign. In response along the above line is objected

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. from applicants shortly

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner's Signature

Ardin Marschel